28

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 No. C 09-4150 CW DONALD BATES, et al., 4 Plaintiffs, ORDER ON 5 STIPULATED MOTION REGARDING v. 6 PLAINTIFFS' ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA REQUEST FOR PHARMACEUTICALS LP and MCKESSON ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF AND ORDER CORPORATION, 8 **ESTABLISHING** Defendants. QUALIFIED 9 SETTLEMENT FUND AND APPOINTING 10 FUND CAROLYN HARRISON, et al., ADMINISTRATOR 11 Plaintiffs, No. C 09-4151 CW 12 v. 13 ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA 14 PHARMACEUTICALS LP and MCKESSON CORPORATION, 15 Defendants. 16 17 No. C 09-4159 CW TODD BOGGIS, et al., 18 Plaintiffs, 19 v. 20 ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA 21 PHARMACEUTICALS LP and MCKESSON CORPORATION, 22 Defendants. 23 24 25 26 27

1						
2	PAUL TRIM, et al.,		No.	С	09-4160	CW
3	Plaintiffs,					
	v.					
4	ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA					
5	PHARMACEUTICALS LP and MCKESSON CORPORATION,					
6	Defendants.					
7		/				
8	ANTONIO BURTON, et al.,		No.	С	09-4162	CW
9	Plaintiffs,					
10						
11	v.					
12	ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP and MCKESSON					
13	CORPORATION,					
14	Defendants.					
15		/				
16	GLORIA MILLER, et al.,		No.	С	09-4163	CW
17	Plaintiffs,					
18	v.					
19	ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP and MCKESSON					
20	CORPORATION,					
21	Defendants.	/				
22		/				
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28		2				

1	DAVID MADEE of old 1								
2	DAVID MARTE, et al., No. C 09-4								
3	Plaintiffs,								
4	v.								
5	ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP and MCKESSON CORPORATION,								
6									
7	Defendants.								
8	/								
9	BONG NGUYEN, et al., No. C 09-41								
10	Plaintiffs,								
	v.								
11	ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA								
12									
13	Defendants.								
14									
15	/								
16									
17	Plaintiffs in these related cases and Defendants Ast								
18	LP, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP and McKesson Corporati								
19	Court to assert jurisdiction over a settlement fund discu								
20	the parties' Master Settlement Agreement (MSA). In suppo								
21	joint request, the parties cite 26 C.F.R. § 1.468B-1(c)(1 Plaintiffs, but not Defendants, also seek an order estable								
22	"MLF Qualified Settlement Fund" and appointing the Garret								
23	Resolution Group, Inc. as fund administrator and trustee.								
24	Treasury Regulation section $1.468B-1(c)(1)$ defines a								
25	settlement fund to be one that is "established pursuant t								
26	of, or is approved by, the United States, any state (incl								
27	or, or is approved by, the unitted states, any state (inci								

28

1	_		
2	DAVID MARTE, et al.,		No. C 09-4164 CW
3	Plaintiffs,		
	v.		
4	ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA		
5	PHARMACEUTICALS LP and MCKESSON CORPORATION,		
6	Dofondonta		
7	Defendants.		
8		/	
	BONG NGUYEN, et al.,		No. C 09-4166 CW
9	Plaintiffs,		
10	v.		
11	V .		
12	ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP and MCKESSON CORPORATION,		
13			
14	Defendants.		
		/	
15			

Plaintiffs in these related cases and Defendants AstraZeneca LP, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP and McKesson Corporation ask the Court to assert jurisdiction over a settlement fund discussed in the parties' Master Settlement Agreement (MSA). In support of this joint request, the parties cite 26 C.F.R. § 1.468B-1(c)(1). Plaintiffs, but not Defendants, also seek an order establishing the "MLF Qualified Settlement Fund" and appointing the Garretson Firm

Treasury Regulation section 1.468B-1(c)(1) defines a qualified settlement fund to be one that is "established pursuant to an order of, or is approved by, the United States, any state (including the

District of Columbia), territory, possession, or political

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2 subdivision thereof, or any agency or instrumentality (including a 3 court of law) of any of the foregoing and is subject to the continuing jurisdiction of that governmental authority." The 4 5 regulation states that a fund is "'ordered by' or 'approved by' a governmental authority . . . when the authority issues its initial 6 7 or preliminary order to establish, or grants its initial or 8 preliminary approval of, the fund, account, or trust, even if that 9 order or approval may be subject to review or revision." 26 C.F.R. 10 § 1.468B-1(e)(1). The regulation, however, does not appear to provide the authority for the Court to establish a fund, or explain the criteria for doing so. 12 13

These related cases are not class actions. Thus, there are no absent class members to whom the Court owes a duty to protect and the Court is not required to grant preliminary approval of the parties' settlement. This contrasts with the examples identified in section 1.468B-1, which refer to instances in which a court has approved of settlements with a plaintiff class.

Accordingly, within three days of the date of this Order, the parties shall file a joint brief, not to exceed three pages, on the authority by which the Court may grant the relief they seek and the criteria it should apply. Further, the parties shall explain why the Court's intervention in their settlement is necessary.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 2/15/2011

CLAUDIA WILKEN

United States District Judge

27

28